
Confidential Minutes

Agenda item : 16
Drafted : 11.04.11

**Confidential minutes of the Transport Services Committee held on 4 April 2011
at 6 Middle Street, London EC1**

These minutes are in addition to the public minutes of a meeting of the Committee on the same date. In that meeting it was resolved, under section 15(2)(b) of schedule 18 of the Greater London Authority Act 1999, that by reason of the confidential nature of the item(s) to be discussed, it was desirable in the public interest that the public should be excluded for this part of the meeting.

Contents

- 1 Confidential minutes and matters arising**
- 2 Major projects review (TRS007)**
- 3 Meeting review**

Present

Members

David Barry, Terry Bennett, Gail Engert (Chair), Sharon Grant (from Item 7), Sophia Lambert (Deputy Chair), David Leibling

Secretariat

Tim Bellenger	Director, Research & Development
John Cartledge	Safety & Policy Adviser (from Item 6)
Jo deBank	Communications Officer
Sharon Malley	Senior Committee Administrator (minutes)
Vincent Stops	Streets & Surface Transport Policy Officer
Poonam Tamana	Policy Officer (Item 9)

Minutes

1 Confidential minutes and matters arising

The confidential minutes of the Access to Transport committee meeting held on 1 December 2010 and the Transport Services committee meeting held on 16 December 2010 were agreed and signed as a correct record.

There were no matters arising.

2 Major projects review (TRS007)

Members welcomed the overview of current major transport projects, presented from the perspective of the passenger. The report was confidential as some of the information included within it had been provided in confidence by transport operators. It was agreed that it would be useful to remove the confidential elements of the report and publish it as a resource for passengers on the website.

Action: Director, Research & Development

2.1 High Speed 2

Members noted that the proposals for the High Speed 2 (HS2) project might impact on London travellers and it would be important that London passengers were not disadvantaged by the HS2 service.

3 Meeting review

Risk issues: Members considered whether the meeting had resulted in any reputational risks for the organisation. They noted that the majority of items reported in the Actions Taken paper referred to rail and few to the Underground. This did not reflect members' priority areas. However, it should be noted that the majority of these items related to the statutory responsibilities of London TravelWatch.

It was noted that the meeting had had a heavy agenda and that it might be worthwhile to hold longer meetings in future.

Press and Media opportunities: Opportunities for media activity identified during the course of the meeting included publicity around the walking report, the Dagenham Dock lift success story and the "ghost bus" issue.